Probopyrus insularis, a new species (Isopoda: Bopyridae), a parasite of Macrobrachium faustinum (Saussure de, 1857) (Decapoda: Palaemonidae), with criteria to differentiate species of Probopyrus

Ramiro Román-Contreras and Roland Bourdon

(RRC) Laboratorio de Parasitología Marina, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM). P.O. Box 70-305, Mexico 04510. E-mail: ramiror@mar.icmyl.unam.mx (RB) 1 Impasse Corbière, Roscoff 29680, France

Abstract.—Probopyrus insularis n. sp. parasiting Macrobrachium faustinum (Saussure de, 1857) from the Caribbean Islands is mainly distinguished by the shape of the: pleotelson in both sexes; carina of the seventh legs in females; palp of the maxillipeds in females; and pleon in males. Characters used to distinguish species of *Probopyrus* Giard & Bonnier, 1888 are discussed.

Two new American species of *Probopyrus* recently have been described as part of an ongoing taxonomic study of these parasitic isopods. *Probopyrus pacificensis* Román-Contreras, 1993, and *P. markhami* Román-Contreras, 1996, both were described from the west coast of Mexico. Here a new *Probopyrus* parasitizing *Macrobrachium faustinum* (Saussure de, 1857) from Jamaica, Puerto Rico, Guadeloupe and Cuba is described. Other American species new to science are expected as taxonomic research continues.

Holthuis (1977) recorded M. faustinum parasitized by "bopyrids" from Cuba; and Kensley & Schotte (1989), and Bunkley-Williams & Williams (1998) have assigned M. faustinum as host of Probopyrus pandalicola (Packard, 1879). These records, however, might be a misidentification of the new species described here. Macrobrachium faustinum, the only host known for this new species, has been reported from the United States, Venezuela and the Antilles by Chace & Hobbs Jr. (1969), Holthuis & Provenzano (1970), Chace (1972), Dugger & Dobkin (1975), Pereira (1991), Fièvet (1998, 1999a, 1999b, 2000), Fièvet et al. (1996, 1999) and Bowles et al. (2000). The new isopod may be present in these areas.

In a previous paper Román-Contreras (1993) summarized and proposed a series of diagnostic characters for the genus *Probopyrus*. These characters are updated and applied to this description (Tables 1 and 2).

Genus Probopyrus Giard & Bonnier, 1888 Probopyrus insularis, new species Figs. 1-22

Holotype female.—USNM 120073. Allotype male USNM 306876, prepared for SEM; C. W. Hart Jr. coll., 7 Jan 1960, Tributary of Trunnels River, St. Mary Parish, Jamaica.

Other material examined.—USNM 235988: one young female, Río Cruces, 1500 m W of Sabana Grande, Puerto Rico. USNM 235990: one female, one male, 8 May 1953, Río Lajas, 3000 m S of Vega Alta, Puerto Rico. USNM 63309: one female, one male, J. C. Welsh Jr. coll., Cuba. One female, one male, Serre coll., 1910, Cuba (in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris). Twenty one females and males, Y. Thèrèzien coll. and det. hosts, 2 Dec 1977, Belle Eau, village de l'Habituée, Guadeloupe. Ten females, eight males, Y. Thèrèzien coll. and det. hosts, 30 Oct 1978, Lèzarde estuary, Guadeloupe. Twenty nine females, 26 males, E. Fièvet coll. and det. hosts, Dec 1996, Bananie River, Guadeloupe. Material from Guadeloupe deposited at collections of the authors.

Type locality.—Tributary of Trunnels River, St. Mary Parish, Jamaica.

Distribution.—Jamaica, Puerto Rico, Cuba, and Guadeloupe.

Host for all specimens.—Macrobrachium faustinum.

Habitat.—Freshwater.

Description of holotype female.—Length 10.3 mm, from border of first pereonite to tip of sixth pleonite; maximal width at third pereonite, 7 mm. Distortion angle 26°, outline pyriform (Figs. 1, 2). Head almost as wide as long, front rounded, posterior border deeply inserted into first pereonite, surface smooth. No well defined frontal lamina, indicated by only a narrow line; anterolateral corners of head produced into slight rounded tips. Antennula and antenna bisegmented; antennula ovoid, 4-5 spines on distal tip; antennae smaller than antennulae, basal portion wider than antennulae, distal one subspheric-shape (Fig. 3); both antennae with small dispersed pectinate scales on surface. Maxilliped bisegmented, subovoid, anterolaterally convex, nonsetose palp formed by two digitiform processes (Fig. 4). Three pairs of smooth, lamellar projections on barbula; inner lateral projections lanceolate, outer ones slightly larger and wider, third one smaller than former and beneath them; middle region rounded (Fig. 5); eyes absent. Pereonites dorsally distinct; border of pereonites 1-4 produced into distinct dorsolateral bosses, coxal plates narrow (Fig. 2). Oostegites completely surrounding but not enclosing marsupium; oostegite 1 relatively large, partially covering head and anterior part of brood pouch; anterior segment transversely ovoid and concave, frontal border rounded and slightly undulate; distal segment digitiform, larger axis perpendicular to the former; digitiform process on inner ridge, distal portion smooth, small spines on inner border; reticulated black pigmentation on surface, except on distal portion (Fig. 6). Oostegites 2-4 progressively longer; oostegites 3-4 on larger side unpigmented; fifth oostegites longer than others, slightly falcate, ending in blunt tip, densely setose along posterior margin, extending across posterior region of marsupium and overlapping each other. Pereopods with massive basipodite, dactylus hook shape (Fig. 7). Robust high carina on percopods, either rectangular (P1-P2), convex (P3-P4) or subquadrangular (P5-P7) (Fig. 8). Pigmentated spots on basis of percopods 1-5 on short side; basis of pereopods 6-7 on short side, and 1-7 on larger side, unpigmentated. Pleon 0.3 times body length, five dorsal and laterally separated pleonites; lateral plates lamellar, almost rounded or slightly truncated. Pleotelson bell-shaped, relatively small, bilobated, deep fissure in the middle, lobes unseparated, tips shorter than the fifth lateral plates (Fig. 2). Five pairs of biramous foliate pleopods completely covering ventral surface of pleon, each different in shape and size; exopods on large side enlarged, increasing in length from first to fifth, protruding notably from tips of pleon (Figs. 1, 2, 15); endopods decreasing in size from first to fifth; larger exopods on opposite side slightly rippled (Fig. 9); uropods absent.

Description of male.-Length 1.84 mm, width 0.6 mm; body unpigmented. Head twice as wide as long, slightly depressed at middle (Figs. 10, 12, 13); posterior margin almost straight, anterolateral borders rounded. Antennae different in size and shape; antennula three-segmented, bottle-shape, proximal portion globose, upper portion small, button shape, eight obscure small spines on tip. Antenna bisegmented, slightly smaller and thinner than antennula, cylindrical, with short proximal basis; stout short setae on tip of distal article. Both antennae with small pyramidal or rounded pectinate scales on surface (Fig. 11). Maxillipeds absent. No eyes. Pereon wider at second pereonite, 4-7 progressively shorter; tips of pereonites subglobose, reflexed ventrally, small scales on ventral surface; midventral tubercles ab-

			(Characters		
Species/host	Body shape	Concavity on short side of body	Body, distal region	Pigmentation on pereomeres 2-4	Cephalon: shape of the posterior region	Cephalon: frontal border
P. insularis/ M. faustinum	Pyriform	Present	Pointed	On short side	Rounded, ovoid, or subtriangular	Convex
P. bithynis/M. ohionis (sic)	Pyriform	Present	Pointed	On short side	Triangular	Right
P. bithynisiM. olfersii P. markhamil M. americanum	Ovoid or pyriform	Absent	Rounded	On short side	Subpentagonal	Right
P. meeki/M. acanthurus	Pyriform	Slight	Rounded	Absent	Ovoid	Convex
P. pacificensis/ M. tenellum	Pyriform	Present	Pointed	On short side	Ovoid	Right
P. panamensis/ M. acanthurus	Pyriform	Present	Pointed	On short side	Ovoid	Convex
P. floridensis/ P. paludosus	Pyriform or ovoid	Present or absent	Pointed	On short side	Ovoid or triangular	Right or acute
P. pandalicolal P. hiltonii	Pyriform	Slight	Rounded	On 2 sides	Rounded	Convex
P. pandalicola/P. pugio	Ovoid	Absent	Rounded	On 2 sides	Rounded or ovoid	Right or convex
P. pandalicolal P. vulgaris	Pyriform, ovoid or rounded	Present or absent	Pointed or rounded	On 2 sides	Rounded or triangular	Right or convex
P. ringueleti/ P. argentinus	Ovoid	Absent	Pointed		Ovoid	Acute
P. palaemonil Pa. pandaliformis	Ovoid	Absent	Pointed or rounded	On 2 sides	Rounded or triangular	Right or convex

Table 1.—Morphological comparative characters for the American species of *Probopyrus* (Females). (Hosts: M. = Macrobrachium, P. = Palaemonetes, Pa. = Palaemon).^a

^a Sources: see Table 2.

sent. Isomorphic pereopods, larger posteriorly, uncarinated. Pleon outline subtriangular, slightly narrower than seventh pereonite, distinct pleonites separated laterally; four pairs of sessile ovoid pleopods conspicuous in ventral view; gross pleotelson triangular shape, wide basis and rounded tip (Fig. 10); uropods absent.

Etymology.—The specific name refers to the Caribbean islands, where the examined specimens were collected.

Variation in female specimens.—In younger specimens the cleft of the pleotelson is open V-shaped, and the tips of the lobes reach to or beyond the tips of the fifth pleonite (Fig. 15). Size and shape of the carina of the pereopods varies according to its position. In *P. insularis* the shape of the carina is rectangular in P1–P2, convex in P3–P4, or subquadrangular in P5–P7, increasing its size behind.

In adult specimens the palp of the maxilliped usually is prominent and finger-like, sometimes with a pair of distal setae; in other specimens the shape of the maxilliped is subquadrate, rudimentary, reduced to a small, acute, lateral point, or bearing two digital processes directed outward.

Variation in males.—The body shape and size in males varies with the state of development (Figs. 12–14). The pleon in P. *insularis* is composed by four or five pleonites, depending upon the state of development, which are differentiated dorsally and

Table 1.-Extended.

		Char	acters		
Palp of maxilliped & number of setae	Height & Shape of the carina	Pleopods: length	Pleopods: protrusion from border of pleon	Pleotelson	Uropods
Digitiform; 2 setae	Extremely high, subquadrangular	Exopodite large, endopodite small	Protruding far	Fissured, lobes not separated	Absent
	Extremely high, quadrangular	Small	Protruding slightly	Entire, notched	Absent
Pyramidal or ovoid; 4 setae	Extremely high, rounded	Small	Diminished	Notched	Present
	Medium, rounded		Not protruding	Fissured	Rudimentary
Ovoid, 8–10 setae	High, rounded	Small	Protruding far	Entire or slightly notched	Absent
	High, rounded	Small	Not protruding	Slightly notched	Absent
	Medium, rounded	Small or subequal	Protrusion variable	Entire or notched	
Ovoid, 8–10 setae		1		Notched	
		Small	Not protruding or only slightly	Entire or notched	
	High, quadrangular	Small	Not protruding or far	Entire (right/ rounded) or notched	
Ovoid, undetermined	High, rounded	Exopodite large, endopodite small	Diminished	Notched	Absent
Ovoid, 3 setae		Small	Protruding slightly or not	Bilobated	

laterally, but sometimes the pleonites are differentiated only laterally.

The shape of the pleotelson in males of *P. insularis* can be subtriangular, subovoid, subrounded, irregularly shaped, fused central and laterally with the previous pleonite on one or both sides but invariably bulbous and blunt, directed behind or laterally.

Description of the epicaridium larva.— Body length: 0.18 to 0.25 mm; length width ratio from 1.7 to 2.3. Antenna large, six segmented, reaching between the second and sixth pleonites; segments 1–4 large; distal seta on internal face of fourth, fifth and sixth segments thinner than others. Distal segment bordered by three small spines and two large unequal setae (Fig. 16). Maxillipeds not distinguishable. Pereopods subequal and dimorphic; first three pairs robust (Fig. 17); other three thinner (Fig. 18). Posteroventral border of fifth pleonite finely denticulate (Fig. 19); ventral side of sixth pleonite formed of plates. First pleopod with basal plate and a long, thin, curved external ramus ending in three long feathered setae. Posteromedial corner of basipodite of pleopod 1 with large feathered setae (Fig. 20). This seta absent in following three pairs of pleopods, and replaced in fifth pair of pleopods by an ovoid branch twice the length of the exopodite. Fifth pair unsegmented, basipodite semi-rounded, exopodite ending in three short feathered setae (Fig. 21). Uropods of two equal branches ending in two denticles and one strong median seta (Fig. 22); anal tube small.

	C	haracters	
Species/host	Shape of pleon	Shape of pleotelson	Source
2. insularis/M. faustinum	Scutelliform or subtriangular	Subtriangular, digitiform, bulky	Present work
 bithynis/M. ohionis (sic) bithynis/M. olfersii 	Semicircular or ovoid	Rounded, entire or fissured	Richardson, 1904; 1905
. markhamil/M. americanum	Subtrapezoidal	Rounded	Román-Contreras, 1996
, meeki/M. acanthurus	Semicircular	Button rounded	Richardson, 1912
, pacificensis/M. tenellum	Semicircular	Button rounded	Román-Contreras, 1993
, panamensis/M, acanthurus	Semicircular	Rounded	Richardson, 1912
, floridensis/P. paludosus	Semicircular	Rounded or bare-necked	Richardson, 1904; 1905
² . pandalicola/P. hiltonii	Semicircular	Rounded	Jiménez and Vargas, 1990
² . pandalicola/P. pugio	Variable	Rounded	Markham, 1985
² . pandalicola/P. vulgaris	Semicircular, posterior edge	Rounded or triangular	Packard, 1879; Gissler, 1882; Bonnier, 1900;
	truncate		Richardson, 1905; Markham, 1985
^o . ringueleti/P. argentinus	Slightly rounded	Rounded or truncate	Verdi and Schuldt, 1988
 palaemonilPa. pandaliformis 	Wide, semicircular	Rounded, fissured or bilobulated	Lemos de Castro and Brasil Lima, 1974

Discussion

The use of host selectivity, pigmentation patterns, habitat, behavior, morphological structures, and statistical methods to differentiate species in the genus *Probopyrus* have been discussed in a recent paper by Román-Contreras (1993, 1996). The following morphological characters that should be described carefully in to differentiating species have been discussed by Giard & Bonnier (1888), Bonnier (1900), Richardson (1904, 1905, 1912), Chopra (1923), and Román-Contreras (1993, 1996).

Females of *P. insularis* can be differentiated from other American species of *Probopyrus* by their relatively short and narrow pleotelson. In adult specimens a deep fissure with rounded and unseparated lobes is present; usually the edge of the lobes do not reach the tip of the fifth pleonite. The shape of the female pleotelson of *P. insularis* is similar only to *P. meeki* Richardson, 1912. However, the sixth segment of *P. meeki* has a posterior notch that extends half of its length and forming two posterior unseparated lobes (Richardson, 1912; fig. 1).

The robust carina of the seventh leg of *P. insularis* is comparable to the high and prominent carina of *P. markhami*. All legs of *P. bithynis* Richardson, 1904 have an extremely high carina (Richardson 1904, 1905). The legs of *P. pandalicola* have a high quadrangular-shaped carina, while the carina of *P. floridensis* Richardson, 1904 are rounded (Richardson 1912). Román-Contreras (1993, 1996) noted the rounded carina of *P. pacificensis*.

Although the maxilliped palp of *Probopyrus* may vary according to the developmental state of the specimens, the morphology has proven valuable in separating species. The maxilliped palp of adult females of *P. insularis* is finger-like in most specimens (79%); a small percentage (14%) have two setae on the tip or no palp is present; few individuals have a triangular, a rounded, or a rudimentary palp. For *Probopyrus ringueleti* Verdi & Schuldt, 1988,

Table 2.—Morphological comparative characters for the American species of *Probopyrus* (Males). (Hosts: M. = Macrobrachium, P. = Palaemonetes, Pa. = Palaemon).



Figs. 1–5. Holotype female of *Probopyrus insularis* sp. nov. 1), in ventral view (oostegite 1 and barbula removed); 2), same in dorsal view; 3), antennula and antenna from a paratype specimen (picture taken with scanning electron microscopy: SEM); 4), maxilliped in dorsal view; 5), barbula of a paratype. Scale bar: 1 and 2 = 2.0 mm; 4 = 0.5 mm; 5 = 1.0 mm.



Figs. 6–8. *Probopyrus insularis* sp. nov. 6), oostegite 1 in dorsal view; 7), pereopod 7 of holotype female; 8), pereopods 1–7 of paratype female. Scale bar: 6 and 15 = 1.0 mm; 7 = 0.5 mm.



Figs. 9–15. *Probopyrus insularis* sp. nov. 9), pleopods 1–5 of paratype female; 10), allotype male in ventral view; 11), antennula and antenna of paratype male (taken with SEM); 12–14), variation of males in dorsal view; 15), young female from Puerto Rico (USNM 235988). Scale bar: 8 and 10 = 0.5 mm; 11 = 0.05 mm; 12–14 = 1.0 mm.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON



Figs. 16–22. Epicardium larva of *Probopyrus insularis* sp. nov. 16), antenna; 17), pereopod 1; 18), pereopod 4; 19), posterior edge of the fifth pleonite; 20), first pleopod; 21), fifth pleopod; 22), uropods. Scale bar: 0.05 mm.

the palp is rectangular and bears an undetermined number of setae. The palp of *P. pacificensis* is ovoid bearing 8 to 10 setae (Román-Contreras 1993), while the palp of *P. markhami* is pyramidal and bears a reduced number of setae (Román-Contreras 1996).

The pleon of males also has been used to differentiate some species of *Probopyrus*. However, the narrow pleon in males of *P. insularis* is not unique; it is comparable to that of *P. meeki*, and the gross structure of the pleotelson is similar to adult specimens of *P. meeki* as well as *P. markhami*.

In addition to structures of adult females and males, larval morphology of *Probopyrus* has been used to separate species (Dale & Anderson 1982). Although such features may prove to be important, mature larval stages are not always available to taxonomists.

Acknowledgments

Special thanks are given to Y. Thèrèzien and E. Fièvet for collecting the specimens from Guadeloupe, and making them available to us; to B. Kensley, J. Clark, and M. Schotte (NMNH, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.) for the loan of catalogued specimens and facilities during several short visits of RRC to the Division; to M. Martínez-Mayén (ICMyL-UNAM) for his technical assistance in the laboratory; to J. C. Markham, and three anonymous referees who helped improve the final manuscript; and to A. E. Viniegra for the art work.

Literature Cited

- Bonnier, J. 1900. Contribution al'étude des Épicarides. Les Bopyridae.—Travaux de la Station Zoologique de Wimereux 8:1–475.
- Bowles, D. E., K. Aziz, & Ch. L. Knight. 2000. Macrobrachium (Decapoda: Caridea: Palaemonidae) in the contiguous United States: a review of the species and an assessment of threats to their survival.—Journal of Crustacean Biology 20:158–171.
- Bunkley-Williams, L., & E. H. Williams. 1998. A new

freshwater shrimp host *Macrobrachium faustinum* (de Saussure), and locality record, Puerto Rico, for the bopyrid isopod *Probopyrus pandalicola* (Packard).—Caribbean Journal of Science 34:151–152.

- Chace Jr., F. A. 1972. The shrimps of the Smithsonian-Bredin Caribbean expeditions with a summary of the West Indian shallow-water species (Crustacea: Decapoda: Natantia).—Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 98:1–179.
- —, & H. H. Hobbs, Jr. 1969. The freshwater and terrestrial decapod crustaceans of the West Indies with special reference to Dominica.—United States National Museum Bulletin 292:1–258.
- Chopra, B. 1923. Bopyrid isopods parasitic on Indian Decapoda Macrura.—Records of the Indian Museum 25:411–550.
- Dale, W. E., & G. Anderson. 1982. Comparison of morphologies of *Probopyrus bithynis*, *P. floridensis*, and *P. pandalicola* larvae reared in culture (Isopoda, Epicaridea).—Journal of Crustacean Biology 2:392–409.
- Dugger, D. M., & S. Dobkin. 1975. A contribution to knowledge of the larval development of *Macro*brachium olfersii (Wiegmann, 1836) (Decapoda, Palaemonidae).—Crustaceana 29:1–30.
- Fièvet, E. 1998. Distribution et capacities d'expansion des crevetes d'eau douce de la region caraïbe: exemple des genres *Macrobrachium* et *Atya* (Crustacea: Caridea).—Biogeographica 74:1– 22.
- ———. 1999a. Daylight migration of freshwater shrimp Decapoda, Caridea) over a weir during water release from impoundment.—Crustaceana 72:351–356.
- 2000. Passage facilities for diadromous freshwater shrimps (Decapoda: Caridea) in the Bananier River, Guadeloupe, West Indies.—Regulated Rivers: Research & Management 16:101– 112.
- -----, L. Tito de Morais, & A. Tito de Morais. 1996. Quantitative sampling of freshwater shrimps: comparison of two electrofishing procedures in a Caribbean stream.—Archiv für Hydrobiologie 138:273–287.
- P. Bonnet-Arnaud, & J.-P. Mallet. 1999. Efficiency and sampling bias of electrofishing for freshwater shrimp and fish in two Caribbean streams, Guadeloupe Island.—Fisheries Research 44:149–166.
- Giard, A., & J. Bonnier. 1888. Sur deux nouveaux genres d'epicarides (*Probopyrus* et *Palaegy-ge*).—Bulletin Scientifique de la France et de la Belgique 19:53–77.

- Gissler, C. F. 1882. A singular parasitic isopod crustacean and some of its developmental stages.— The American Naturalist 16:6–12.
- Holthuis, L. B. 1977. On some freshwater and terrestrial crustacea decapoda from Cuba.—Résultats des expéditions biospéologiques cubano-romaines à Cuba 2:271–275.
- —, & A. J. Provenzano, Jr. 1970. New distribution records for species of *Macrobrachium* with notes on the distribution of the genus in Florida (Decapoda, Palaemonidae).—Crustaceana 19: 211–213.
- Jiménez M., P. & M. Vargas V. 1990. Probopyrus pandalicola (Isopoda: Bopyridae) infesting Palaemonetes hiltonii (Crustacea: Caridea), along the Pacific coast of Costa Rica.—Revista de Biología Tropical 38:457–462.
- Kensley B., & M. Schotte. 1989. Guide to the marine isopod crustaceans of the Caribbean.—Smithsonian Institution Press. Washington, D.C. & London. 1–308.
- Lemos de Castro, A., & I. M. Brasil Lima. 1974. Crustáceos Isopodos Epicarídeos do Brasil. IX. Género *Probopyrus* Giard e Bonnier.—Revista Brasileira de Biología 34:209–218.
- Markham, J. C. 1985. A review of the bopyrid isopods infesting caridean shrimps in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean, with special reference to those collected during the Hourglass Cruises in the Gulf of Mexico.—Memoirs of the Hourglass Cruises 7(3):1–156.
- Packard, A. S. 1879. Zoology for students and general readers. Henry Holt & Company, New York, 719 pp.

- Pereira S., G. A. 1991. Camarones de agua dulce de Venezuela II: Nuevas adiciones en las familias Atyidae y Palaemonidae (Crustacea, Decapoda, Caridea).—Acta Biológica Venezuélica 13:75– 88.
- Richardson, H. 1904. Contributions to the natural history of the Isopoda.—Proceedings of the United States National Museum 27:58–89.
 - ——. 1905. Monograph on the isopods of North America.—Bulletin of the United States National Museum 54:1–727.
 - —. 1912. Descriptions of two new parasitic isopods belonging to the genera *Palaegyge* and *Probopyrus* from Panama.—Proceedings of the United States National Museum 42:521–524.
- Román-Contreras, R. 1993. Probopyrus pacificensis, a new parasite species (Isopoda: Bopyridae) of Macrobrachium tenellum (Smith, 1871) (Decapoda: Palaemonidae) of the Pacific coast of México.—Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 106:689–697.
 - —. 1996. A new species of *Probopyrus* (Isopoda, Bopyridae), parasite of *Macrobrachium americanum* Bate, 1868 (Decapoda, Palaemonidae).—Crustaceana 69:204–210.
- Saussure, H. de. 1857. Diagnoses de quelques Crustacés noveaux de l'Amérique tropicale.—Revue et Magasine de Zoologie 2:501–505.
- Verdi, A. C., & M. Schuldt. 1988. Descripción y biología de *Probopyrus ringueleti* n. sp. (Crustacea, Epicaridea, Bopyridae). Efectos sobre el hospedador *Palaemonetes argentinus* Nobili (Crustacea, Caridea, Palaemonidae).—Anales de la Sociedad Científica Argentina 218:15–26.